

## Assessment 1: Health Surveillance Report

**Student Name:**

**Mark: 40 Marks**

Criteria	HD	D	C	P	F
Identification of the hazard/s that may be an issue in the case study and outline in brief the characteristics of this hazard presented in the case study. (5 marks)	An outstanding and comprehensive discussion on the identification of the hazards of your chosen case study and the characteristics of this hazard.	A very good discussion on the identification of the hazards in your chosen case study and the characteristics of this hazard.	Clear discussion on the identification of the hazards in your chosen case study and the characteristics of this hazard.	Basic discussion on the identification of the hazards in your chosen case study and the characteristics of this hazard.	Very brief and incomplete discussion on the identification of the hazards in your chosen case study and on the characteristics of this hazard.
Description of the typical health surveillance measures that should be considered and your reasons why. (10 marks)	Outstanding discussion on typical health surveillance measures for your selected case study, supported by appropriate literature to base your reasons why.	A detailed discussion on typical health surveillance measures for your selected case study, supported by relevant literature to base your reasons why.	You have shown an acceptable level of understanding of typical health surveillance measures for your selected case study, supported by some literature to base your reasons why.	A basic discussion on typical health surveillance measures for your selected case study, with limited literature reviews to base your reasons why.	Very brief discussion on typical health surveillance measures for your selected case study. Little to no evidence of literature reviews to base your reasons on why.
Design of a health surveillance measures to be implemented within this workplace. (10 marks)	An outstanding & comprehensive discussion on the design of health surveillance measures to be implemented, that was articulated to an exceptional level.	An outstanding and comprehensive discussion on the design of health surveillance measures to be implemented, that was articulated to a very good level.	Satisfactory discussion on the design of health surveillance measures to be implemented. Some points covered.	Basic discussion on the design of health surveillance measures that could be considered for implementation.	Very brief discussion on the design of health surveillance measures that should be considered for implementation.
Proposed timelines for the implementation of the health surveillance measures and how they will be assessed as effective. (5 marks)	Presented well defined and comprehensive details on timelines for the implementation of the health surveillance measures and how they will be assessed as effective. All key points were covered well.	Detailed discussion on timelines for the implementation of the health surveillance measures and how they would be assessed. All key points were covered.	Clear discussion for timelines that could be considered for implementation of the health surveillance measures and how they would be assessed. Additional key points could have been considered.	Basic discussion on timelines for the implementation of the health surveillance measures as well as limited discussion on how they will be assessed. Not all key points were considered.	Brief discussion on timelines for the implementation of the health surveillance measures along limited discussion on how they will be assessed.

Conclusions and recommendations, you would make to management. (5 marks)	Your conclusion was well articulated. You have clearly summarized the key findings and their significance. Clear and practical recommendations provided. No new information, sources or ideas were introduced.	Your conclusion was good. You have summarized the key findings and their significance. Clear and practical recommendations provided. No new information, sources or ideas were introduced.	An acceptable conclusion that outlined and summarized the key findings and their significance. Some recommendations provided. No new information, sources or ideas were introduced.	You have presented a conclusion that briefly summarized limited findings along with some discussion on their significance. Recommendations were noted but could have been enhanced.	Your conclusion did not adequately summarize the key findings nor their significance. Recommendation were either not provided or limited. New information was introduced.
Referencing & citing of information sources (5 marks)	Outstanding skill in referencing style; proficient in paraphrasing key comments; direct quotations used very sparingly.	Proficiency in correct referencing style; paraphrases key comments; direct quotations used very sparingly.	Reasonable skill in correct referencing style; paraphrases direct quotations used sparingly.	Some inaccuracies in referencing style; some attempt made to paraphrase key comments direct quotations used frequently.	Referencing insufficient or significantly inaccurate; direct quotations over-used and/or when irrelevant.
<b>Word Limit: 3000</b> (±10%)	Within ± 10% of word limit		Within ± 20% of word limit	Within ± 30% of word limit	Significantly deviates from word limit