# CJ 530 Milestone Three Guidelines and Rubric

**Prompt:** In previous milestones, you researched the history and background of your chosen attack and analyzed its impact. In this milestone, you will focus on the impact of the response to the attack. In addition, you will propose alternative responses. You will base these alternative responses on the information you gathered during your analysis of the attack.

Specifically, the following **critical elements** must be addressed:

- **Past Response and Alternatives:** In this section, you will focus on how the government of the country in which the attack occurred responded to the event. You will also consider the impacts of the government's response and suggest an alternative response.
  - Discuss **decisions** that were made **and policies** that were created in response to the attack to discourage terrorism, and explain the intended purpose of those decisions. You may consider both foreign and domestic policies.
  - Explain the **unintended consequences** of those decisions and policies. Consider the following:
    - Did the response negatively impact relationships with other countries?
    - Did the response unintentionally encourage terrorism?
  - Describe how **other countries** were impacted by the response, using supporting examples.
  - Discuss the **lessons learned** from the government's response. In hindsight, what did the government do wrong? What did it do right?
  - Suggest a specific way in which the government could have **responded differently** to the terrorist attack.
  - Explain how this **alternative response** could have changed the current state of the terrorist organization responsible for the attack. In other words, if that alternative response had been used, how might the terrorist organization have been more or less of a threat to the world today?

## Rubric

**Guidelines for Submission:** Your paper should be a 3- to 5-page Microsoft Word document with double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, one-inch margins, and at least two sources cited in APA format.

| Critical Elements | Proficient (100%) | Needs Improvement (80%) | Not Evident (0%) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Past Response and Alternatives: Decisions and Policies** | Discusses decisions that were made and policies that were created in response to the attack to discourage terrorism, and explains the intended purpose of those decisions | Discusses decisions that were made and policies that were created in response to the attack to discourage terrorism, but does not explain the intended purpose of those decisions at the time, or response is cursory or contains inaccuracies | Does not discuss decisions that were made and policies that were created in response to the attack to discourage terrorism | 15 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Past Response and Alternatives: Unintended Consequences** | Explains the unintended consequences of the decisions and policies | Explains the unintended consequences of the decisions and policies, but explanation is cursory or contains inaccuracies | Does not explain the unintended consequences of the decisions and policies | 17 |
| **Past Response and Alternatives: Other Countries** | Describes how other countries were impacted by the response, using supporting examples | Describes how other countries were impacted by the response but does not use supporting examples, or examples are inappropriate, or description contains inaccuracies | Does not describe how other countries were impacted by the response | 15 |
| **Past Response and Alternatives: Lessons Learned** | Discusses the lessons learned from the government's response | Discusses the lessons learned from the government's response, but discussion is cursory or illogical or contains inaccuracies | Does not discuss the lessons learned from the government's response | 15 |
| **Past Response and Alternatives: Responded Differently** | Suggests a specific way in which the government could have responded differently to the terrorist attack | Suggests a way in which the government could have responded differently to the terrorist attack, but suggestion is vague or inappropriate for the situation | Does not suggest a way in which the government could have responded differently to the terrorist attack | 16 |
| **Past Response and Alternatives: Alternative Response** | Explains how the alternative response could have changed the current state of the terrorist organization responsible for the attack | Explains how the alternative response could have changed the current state of the terrorist organization, but explanation is cursory or illogical | Does not explain how the alternative response could have changed the current state of the terrorist organization | 17 |
| **Articulation of Response** | Submission has no major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization | Submission has major errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization that negatively impact readability and articulation of main ideas | Submission has critical errors related to citations, grammar, spelling, syntax, or organization that prevent understanding of ideas | 5 |
| | | | **Total** | **100%** |