

IT 657 Milestone Two Guidelines and Rubric: Service-Oriented Architecture

Submit a paper discussing the three major ERP vendors: SAP, Oracle, and Microsoft Dynamics. Compare the SOA in a table format, and discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each system. Discuss which system you would recommend for your organization and your rationale and justification for your decision.

Specifically, the follow critical elements must be addressed:

- **Comparison** between the three major ERP vendors
- Advantages of the various ERP vendors
- **Disadvantages** of the various ERP vendors
- **Recommendations and Rationale** for decision making—a comparison between the three major ERP vendors and a recommendation for your organization

Guidelines for Submission: This submission should be two to three pages (not including cover pages and resources if applicable), double spaced, with 12-point Times New Roman font and one-inch margins, and written in APA format.

| Critical Elements | Exemplary (100%) | Proficient (90%) | Needs Improvement (75%) | Not Evident (0%) | Value |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Comparison | Meets "Proficient" criteria and | Provides a comparison between | Provides a comparison between | Does not provide a comparison | 30 |
| | provides substantial and | the major ERP vendors, with | the major ERP vendors but lacks | between the major ERP vendors | |
| | relevant details | specific details | specific details | | |
| Advantages | Meets "Proficient" criteria and | Highlights the advantages of the | Highlights the advantages of the | Does not highlight the | 20 |
| | provides substantial and | various ERP vendors, with | various ERP vendors but lacks | advantages of the various ERP | |
| | relevant details | specific details | specific details | vendors | |
| Disadvantages | Meets "Proficient" criteria and | Highlights the disadvantages of | Highlights the disadvantages of | Does not highlight the | 20 |
| | provides substantial and | the various ERP vendors, with | the various ERP vendors but | disadvantages of the various | |
| | relevant details | specific details | lacks specific details | ERP vendors | |
| Recommendations | Meets "Proficient" criteria with | Provides a comparison between | Provides a viable | Does not provide a viable | 20 |
| and Rationale for | substantial details and | the major ERP vendors, with | recommendation for an ERP | recommendation for an ERP | |
| Decision Making | justification for the | specific details and justification | vendor but lacks justification for | vendor | |
| | recommendation | for the recommendation | the recommendation | | |
| Articulation of | Submission is free of errors | Submission is free of errors | Submission has major errors | Submission has critical errors | 10 |
| Response | related to citations, grammar, | related to citations, grammar, | related to citations, grammar, | related to citations, grammar, | |
| | spelling, syntax, and | spelling, syntax, and | spelling, syntax, or organization | spelling, syntax, or organization | |
| | organization and is presented in | organization | that negatively impact | that prevent understanding of | |
| | a professional and easy-to-read | | readability and articulation of | ideas | |
| | format | | main ideas | | |
| Earned Tot | | | | | 100% |