

<b>Points: 140</b>	<b>Case Study 1: Carlson Companies</b>			
<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Unacceptable</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
	<b>Below 70% F</b>	<b>70-79% C</b>	<b>80-89% B</b>	<b>90-100% A</b>
1. Assess how the Carlson SAN approach would be implemented in today's environment.  Weight: 15%	Did not submit or incompletely assessed how the Carlson SAN approach would be implemented in today's environment.	Partially assessed how the Carlson SAN approach would be implemented in today's environment.	Satisfactorily assessed how the Carlson SAN approach would be implemented in today's environment.	Thoroughly assessed how the Carlson SAN approach would be implemented in today's environment.
2. Compare the pros and cons of consolidating data on a SAN central data facility versus the dispersed arrangement it replaces.  Weight: 15%	Did not submit or incompletely compared the pros and cons of consolidating data on a SAN central data facility versus the dispersed arrangement it replaces.	Partially compared the pros and cons of consolidating data on a SAN central data facility versus the dispersed arrangement it replaces.	Satisfactorily compared the pros and cons of consolidating data on a SAN central data facility versus the dispersed arrangement it replaces.	Thoroughly compared the pros and cons of consolidating data on a SAN central data facility versus the dispersed arrangement it replaces.
3. Evaluate the issues raised from the Carlson SAN mixing equipment from a number of vendors and determine the management options for dealing with this type of situation.  Weight: 15%	Did not submit or incompletely evaluated the issues raised from the Carlson SAN mixing equipment from a number of vendors and did not submit or incompletely determined the management options for dealing with this type of situation.	Partially evaluated the issues raised from the Carlson SAN mixing equipment from a number of vendors and partially determined the management options for dealing with this type of situation.	Satisfactorily evaluated the issues raised from the Carlson SAN mixing equipment from a number of vendors and satisfactorily determined the management options for dealing with this type of situation.	Thoroughly evaluated the issues raised from the Carlson SAN mixing equipment from a number of vendors and thoroughly determined the management options for dealing with this type of situation.
4. Justify the reduction of administration and management of storage networking through Carlson's IP SAN.  Weight: 15%	Did not submit or incompletely justified the reduction of administration and management of storage networking through Carlson's IP SAN.	Partially justified the reduction of administration and management of storage networking through Carlson's IP SAN.	Satisfactorily justified the reduction of administration and management of storage networking through Carlson's IP SAN.	Thoroughly justified the reduction of administration and management of storage networking through Carlson's IP SAN.
5. Assess how cloud computing could be used by Carlson instead of a SAN. Create a diagram using Visio or its open source alternative	Did not submit or incompletely assessed how cloud computing could be used by Carlson instead of a SAN and did not submit or incompletely created a	Partially assessed how cloud computing could be used by Carlson instead of a SAN and partially created a diagram using	Satisfactorily assessed how cloud computing could be used by Carlson instead of a SAN and satisfactorily created a diagram using	Thoroughly assessed how cloud computing could be used by Carlson instead of a SAN and thoroughly created a diagram using

software to illustrate the use of cloud computing.  Weight: 25%	diagram using Visio or its open source alternative software to illustrate the use of cloud computing.	Visio or its open source alternative software to illustrate the use of cloud computing.	Visio or its open source alternative software to illustrate the use of cloud computing.	Visio or its open source alternative software to illustrate the use of cloud computing.
6. 3 references  Weight: 5%	No references provided	Does not meet the required number of references; some or all references poor quality choices.	Meets number of required references; all references high quality choices.	Exceeds number of required references; all references high quality choices.
7. Clarity, writing mechanics, and formatting requirements  Weight: 10%	More than 6 errors present	5-6 errors present	3-4 errors present	0-2 errors present