

**EXHIBIT 10.9**

**PARTIAL RISK REGISTER**

RISK DESCRIPTION(EVENT)	IMPACT	CATEGORY	PROB	IMPACT	SCORE	MITIGATION STRATEGY-RESOLUTION
Incomplete requirements were identified in the RFP and Exhibits (see Risk 7).	Greater possibility of gaps in functionality. Greater possibility of missing State specific functionality. Greater possibility of "Scope Creep". Greater possibility of delay in finalizing requirements. Greater possibility of rework in subsequent phases.	Business Requirements	5	4	20	MAXIMUS will begin conducting the detailed BA sessions 09/20/2012. Additional requirements will be gathered in those sessions and documented in subsequent versions of the Requirements Validation Documentation. A schedule of future Business Architecture and Technical sessions is being developed.  State will provide closure and decisions regarding requirements and system scope.
Since there are various vendor products (IBM/Curam, Connecture) each with its own rules engines, it is not clear which rules engine takes precedence.	Potential duplication of rules or conflicting rules that lead to different outcomes.	Technology	3	4	12	EngagePoint will provide and explanation of how to mitigate this risk.  (See Risk Response Plan for resolution.)
Difficulty integrating to States end-to-end Infrastructure.	Potential difficulty integrating new technology into existing infrastructure.	Technology	4	4	16	Work with the State to define infrastructure requirements and ensure we are providing any necessary information to the MN-IT staff.
Going through hierarchical reporting structure will impact real time decision making.	Potential bottlenecks in document reviews and decision making may affect task completion according to the Project Schedule.	Communications	4	4	16	Identifying a Point-of-Contact for each functional area from vendor and state to eliminate bottlenecks.
State functional POC's may have competing priorities that will hinder their ability to respond in a timely manner.	Secondary risk—related to Risk 6.	Communications	4	4	16	Identifying multiple Points-of-Contact for each functional area from vendor and state to eliminate bottlenecks.
Delays in procurement process may negatively impact project schedule.	Inability to acquire resources in a timely manner may negatively impact related activities in the Project Schedule.	Procurement	2	5	10	Add lead time as early as possible. Evaluate procurement requirements during the change order process. Make sure Commerce procurement staff are engaged in the PO development process.

Source: <http://mn.gov/his/finance-2/879117/Attachments/1.pdf>, accessed April 26, 2013.

EX