Grading for this assignment will be based on answer quality, logic / organization of the paper, and language and writing skills, using the following rubric.

Points: 300	Assignment 3: Using Leadership to Improve Ethical Performance			
Criteria	Unacceptable Below 70% F	Fair 70-79% C	Proficient 80-89% B	Exemplary 90-100% A
1. Create five to seven (5-7) questions to ask your chosen leader to determine his / her views of motivation, ethical leadership and performance. Then, conduct the interview based on your selected questions. Weight: 15%	Did not submit or incompletely created five to seven (5-7) questions to ask your chosen leader to determine his / her views of motivation, ethical leadership and performance.	Partially created five to seven (5-7) questions to ask your chosen leader to determine his / her views of motivation, ethical leadership and performance.	Satisfactorily created five to seven (5-7) questions to ask your chosen leader to determine his / her views of motivation, ethical leadership and performance.	Thoroughly created five to seven (5-7) questions to ask your chosen leader to determine his / her views of motivation, ethical leadership and performance.
2. Analyze the leadership, motivation, and ethical values of the leader interviewed and assess its impact in the ethical performance of the organization. Weight: 60%	Did not submit or incompletely analyzed the leadership, motivation, and ethical values of the leader interviewed and did not submit or incompletely assessed its impact in the ethical performance of the organization.	Partially analyzed the leadership, motivation, and ethical values of the leader interviewed and partially assessed its impact in the ethical performance of the organization.	Satisfactorily analyzed the leadership, motivation, and ethical values of the leader interviewed and satisfactorily assessed its impact in the ethical performance of the organization.	Thoroughly analyzed the leadership, motivation, and ethical values of the leader interviewed and thoroughly assessed its impact in the ethical performance of the organization.
3. 2 references Weight: 10%	No references provided.	Does not meet the required number of references; some or all references poor quality choices.	Meets number of required references; all references high quality choices.	Exceeds number of required references; all references high quality choices.
4. Clarity, writing mechanics, and formatting requirements Weight: 15%	More than 6 errors present	5-6 errors present	3-4 errors present	0-2 errors present