

(Useful for Mid-term)

I. Structural Theory -

Explanation of WAR (Unit)

A. Foaason + 6 Balance of Power -

B. WAR Results From A Balance Breakdown - Unstable Balance of Power

1. Declining Power May Choose WAR To Restore Its Relative Position In the Balance of Power

2. Rising Power May Choose WAR To Preempt Attack

3. Potential Hegemon May Trigger WAR Because of Its Objective Threat Potential

4. S = S = Stable Balance

D. Survival Threat Triggers
WAR. Survival Threat As ^{As Fought Cause} of Major WAR
1 Aus-Hungary Must
Respond To Assassination
And Risk Systemic WAR
a. Monarchy As Core Institution
of Administrative Power,
Military, Cultural Focal Point
- Survival Threat

E. Survival Threat -
WAR when No Bargain Is
Available (Russia WANTS
Aus-Hungary Destroyed)

F. Aus-Hungary - Ethnic Conflict
And No Security Provided by the State
1 Group will Not Secede If Benefits > Cost
(Benefits of Security)

II. Structural Explanation of W.W.I

A. Balance of Power - Destabilized

↳ Fundamental Faultline -
Major Power Conflict And
Hegemonic Threat (Germany, Russia)

B. Armed Anarchy (Conflict)

↳ Security Dilemma -
All Major Powers

C. Alliance Polarization

And Alliance (sect. Ia)

1) 4 = Germany ^{no "Balancer"}

3 = France, Gt Britain

2 = Russia

1 = Aus-Hungary

↳ Aus-Hungary & Germany

Alliance As Gains From "Trade".

D. Security Dilemma - Europe-wide

1. Measured by Military Spending
2. Size of armies based on consumption (2 million +)
 - a. Active + Reserve
3. Naval arms race -
4. Destabilization of the Balance of Power

E. Survival Threat - To Aus-Hungary

1. Will Russia Refrain from pressuring Aus-Hungary
2. Will Germany Do Nothing or Follow Aus-Hungary